

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Offic**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

					<i></i>
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAM	ED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/513,239	02/24/00	ROMIG		P	28542.00059
_			コ	EXAMINER	
		QM32/061	2		
Graham & Ja	mes LLP			LUONG,	<u> </u>
600 Hasen W	lav			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	A 94304-104:	3		3728 DATE MAILED:	. /
					06/12/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/513,239	ROMIG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shian T. Luong	3728					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	<u>May 2001</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	his action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		,					
4) Claim(s) $8-10$ and $22-25$ is/are pending in the	e application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10 and 22-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the effect of the patient of the patient of the patient application.							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dom	esuc priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e).					
Attachment(s)							
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	19) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/513,239

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 8-10 and 22-25 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art in view of Katsura et al (US 5,223,315). Admitted Prior Art on page 1 of the specification discloses the conventional placement of a label on a semi-permeable plastic container. Admitted Prior Art discloses generally all of the elements of the claims, but lacks a metallized polyester layer on the label. However, Katsura et al suggest a container equipped with a label. The label in Figure 1-B has a print layer 3 attached to a metallic layer 5. The metallic layer is bonded to the container. Figure 1C shows a print layer 3 attached to a metal layer 5a and the metal layer 5a is attached to a lower polymeric layer 2a. Therefore, it would have been obvious in view of Katsura et al to provide the laminate for the label of Admitted Prior Art to prevent the wrinkle and the bulge on the label. It would have also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the metal layer out of metallized polyester, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. Applicant argues that the disclosure on

Art Unit: 3728

pages 1 and 6 of the specification stresses the abidance of adhesive between the metallic layer and the container throughout. The combination of Katsura et al would be in contradiction with the specification. However, the language only broadly claims the limitation of a metallic layer bonded directly to the external surface. Claims 8 and 22 did not claim how the metallic layer is bonded to the external surface nor do they contain any negative limitation that prevents one from reading in the manner above. Moreover, the examiner is using lines 12-21 of the Admitted Prior Art on page 1 of the disclosure as the base reference. Katsura et al supplied the teaching of the label and the method of bonding the label to the container. In addition, the bonding between the metallic layer and the container surface of Katsura et al is by heating polymeric material to the container using such material as polypropylene or polyethylene. These are the same material utilized by applicant as specified on page 6, line 11 of the specification.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3728

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners, M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Valerie Douglas at (703)308-1337.

For applicant's convenience, the Group Technological Center FAX number is (703) 305-3579 or (703)305-3580. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify Examiner Luong of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet of any correspondence submitted.

Inquiries concerning the merits of the examination should be directed to Shian Luong whose telephone number is (703) 308-2039.

STL

June 11, 2001